

# The Effects of Different Additives of the Compressive and Flexural Strengths of Light Foamed Concrete (LFC)

K.Gunakar Rao<sup>1</sup>, B.Bharathi<sup>1</sup>, L.Abhiram<sup>1</sup>, G.Sai Vamsi<sup>1</sup>, M. Harsha Vardan<sup>1</sup>, P.Ram Prasad<sup>1</sup>, B. V. Reddy<sup>2</sup>

#### <sup>1</sup>B. Tech. Student, Department of Civil Engineering, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam, India- 532201.

<sup>2</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Civil Engineering, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam, India- 532201.

**Abstract**: Foamed concrete was established for void filling and insulation purposes, but the interest is progressively changing towards structural characteristics in today's society. This paper describes the results of experimental study that have been performed to investigate the effects of different densities and additives on the on the mechanical properties foamed concrete. Additionally, control foamed concrete samples with different densities(600kg/m3,1000kg/m3 and 1400kg/m3) and different additives were prepared independently to study the impacts of specimens were prepared with locally available materials which are fuel ash, polypropylene fiber, silica fume and jute fiber. This study has revealed that the compressive strength of foamed concrete was affected by its density due to the percentage of porosity. On the other hand, it was found that foamed concrete sample with coconut fiber yield better enhancement of the mechanical properties. Coconut fiber as reinforcement in foamed concrete is adequate and has high failure strain which can be able to provide a better compatibility between fibers and matrix. Output from this research would give better understanding of the potential utilization of waste by-products and natural fibers in foamed concrete. Foamed concrete can be used as a new energy-conservation and environmental-protection building material, particularly suitable for the construction of monolithic building envelope in Malaysia.

Key words : : Lightweight Foamed Concrete, Supplementary Cementing Materials, Natural Fibre, Strength, Jute Fiber.

#### Introduction

Need and use of foamed concrete as building material become privileged in construction industry due to its promising properties such as lighter in weight, superior thermal insulation and durable performance. Foamed concrete is lighter than normal weight concrete due to artificial air bubbles trapped in its cement mortar by means of suitable foaming agent (Jalal et al., 2019). No utilization of coarse aggregate in fabrication of foamedconcreteandfineaggregate(sand)canbepartial lyoffullyreplacedbyrenewable

materialssuchaspulverizedfuelashandjutefibers.Mor eover,foamedconcretehasstrong potential to be used as structural material. Generally, the strength value for foamed concrete of ranging densities between 600/m<sup>3</sup>to 1400kg/m<sup>3</sup>is from 1N/mm<sup>2</sup>to 9N/mm<sup>2</sup>respectively.

It should be pointed out that the density and porosity play significant role in controlling the strength of foamed concrete (Elrahman et al., 2019). Since early civilization, pozzolan materials has been practice replacing cement or sand in concrete either naturally or artificially. Besides economic and environmental concerns, it has been proven to give enhancements to the strength of foamed concrete as its natural strength is low.Mechanicalpropertieshavebeenoneofthefundam entaltopicstobeinvestigatedbut there is lack of knowledge in the effects of various types of additives on mechanical properties of foamed concrete.

Eventhoughlightweightfoamedconcretehasbeenexp ansivelystudied, somelimitations such as low flexural strength still restrict its wider applications (Ramli et al., 2013). The strength of foamed concrete is determined by different cementitious materials, cement dosage, mixproportion, watercementratio, foamvolume, foaming agent, curing meth od, additive and addition of waste by-product. To a certain extent, the density controls the strength of foamed concrete. Thus, it is always to seek a balance between strength and density, for thepurpose to maximizestrength while reducing density as much aspossible (Munir et al., 2015). Sometimes, this can be achieved through optimizing cementitious materials and selecting high-quality foaming agents and ultralight aggregates. The filler typesandinclusionofoilpalmbiomasswillinfluenceth ewater-solidratioswhenconcrete

density is constant, and the reduction of sand particle size will help to improve strength (Memon et al., 2018).

The pozzolanic effect of fiber biomass waste is to react with the secondary product, Ca(OH)2 (calcium hydroxide, also known as portlandite), of cement hydration to form additional C-S-H gel (secondary C-S-H). During the pozzolanic reaction, the longer silicate chains are formed as the Ca:Si molar ratio of C-S-H drops (Mydin et al., 2018). This secondary C-S-H reduces the porosity in bulk cement paste and improves the interfacialbondbetweenaggregateparticlesandfiber,t husincreasesthestrength, density, and ion diffusion resistance of LFC (Fu et al., 2020). Lately, LFC has gained major attention among the industrial players and building material manufacturers owing its excellent thermal and mechanical properties such as high flowability, low self-weight, good thermal performance, and sound insulation properties (Jhatial et al., 2017).

The pozzolanic effect of fiber biomass waste is to react with the secondary product, Ca(OH)2 (calcium hydroxide, also known as portlandite), of cement hydration to form additional C-S-H gel (secondary C-S-H). During the pozzolanic reaction, the longer silicate chains are formed as the Ca:Si molar ratio of C-S-H drops (Mydin et al., 2018). This secondary C-S-H reduces the porosity in bulk cement paste and improves the interfacial bond between aggregate particles and fiber, thusincreasesthestrength, density, and ion diffusion resistance of LFC (Fu et al., 2020). Lately, LFC has gained major attention among the industrial players and building material manufacturers owing its excellent thermal and mechanical properties such as high flowability, low self-weight, good thermal performance, and sound insulation properties (Jhatial et al., 2017). Besides, LFC is an environmentally friendly building material because of its minimal usage of aggregate and high potential to integrate was tematerial such as naturalfibers.Foamedconcreteis amixture ofcementpaste(slurry)and homogeneous foamintroducedusingasuitablefoamingagent, which

canberegardedasself-

compactingmaterials.Foamedconcretehasanairconte ntofmorethan25% by volume. thus. distinguishingitselffrom highly air entrained materials. Even though increasing consideration has been given tofoamed concrete worldwide, its application in the context of Malaysian construction industryis still in its infancy (Musa et al., 2018).However,it has heen utilizedinseveralhousingandvoidfillingprojectinMal aysia.Hencethisresearchwasperformed to inspect the potential utilization of additives in foamed concrete to improve its mechanical properties.

### 2. Methodology

## **1.1** *Typicalpropertiesoffoamedconcrete*

Inthispaper, the properties of foamed concrete are classi fiedintofresh, mechanical, physical, durability, and functional properties. Each property has its own by specific characteristics influenced the manufacturing process and performance quality. The fresh state of the foamedconcreteincludesthemixtureconsistency, rheo logyandstability.Inthehardenedstate, the authors focus on physical, functional and mechanical properties as described in the following paragraphs.

## **1.1.1** Freshproperties

In the fresh state, the foam concrete mix has a flowing and self-compacting rheology. Hence, differentparameterssuchasconsistencyandrheology, stability,workabilityandcompatibility should be taken into account. These parameters are mostly influenced by the proportion of itherwatertocement(w/c),supplementarymaterials,fi ne/courseaggregate,plasticizers,and the volume and type of foam agents added.

### **1.1.2** Consistencyandrheology

The consistency and rheology arefirst assessments of fresh foamed concrete; they are usually measured by aflow cone and theflow marsh testto investigate the mixture performance. The performance of consistency and rheology of foamed concrete is acceptable when the spreadabilityoffreshconcretemixesislimitedbetween 40%and60%oftheflowingtime.The flowing time should be within 20 s for a sufficient mix to be placed into molds and get self- compacted without any external aids. Reportedly, different factors influence the consistency and rheology of the mix; which are basically related to the mix design constituents. One

importantfactorthataffectsrheologyandconsistencyo fthefreshfoamedconcreteisthewater content in the mix design. It was recommended that the water to cement ratio should be minimized because the excessive volume of water causes segregation of foamed concrete during casting which affects the workability performance. For that, the mixture components should be calculated accurately in order to enhance the consistency and rheology of foamed concrete, to achieve the selfcompacting characteristics, to improve the cohesion and adhesion between foam agent and the binder. The other important factor is the density of coarse aggregate in the mix. For example, the addition of lightweight coarse aggregate adversely affectstheconsistencyofthemix.Tosettlethisproblem, itwassuggestedtoaddflyashtothe

mix, albeit, the content of the course aggregate with the maximum size of 4 mm should be limitedto25% of the total volume of aggregates ince thee xcessivevolumeofcoarseaggregates would drop the foam air-voids (bubbles). Also, an increase in w/c ratio and reduction of the foam content proportionally increase the plastic density and reduces the consistency and rheology of foamed concrete. It was reported that the consistency of foamed concrete wasreducedwhenthefoamcontentwasaddedduetoahi ghervolume ofaircontentwhileaddition of superplasticizers increased the flow rate.

### 1.1.3 Stability

The state of stability is an adhesive behavior of foamed concrete mix design constituents, and their consistency and cohesiveness as a system. Foamed concrete is classified as a homogeneous foamed concrete when mixes have a creamy, easily and pourable closely fluid consistencywhichresultsinafreshmixfreeofbleedinga ndsegregation.Itisreportedthatthe mixture composition is prepared with a right mix design method and a correct calculation procedure when the difference between the achieved plastic density and the desirable plastic densitydoesnotexceed2-7%.Also,aspreadflowof45%wasreportedasanapprop riatevalue of workability to confirm a good stability

of any produced foamed concrete mix. So far, different tests have been proposed by researchers to measure the stability of the mix. For instance, Kunhanandan Nambiar et al. Assessed the stability of the foamed concrete by measuring the density of fresh foamed concrete filled in standard containers and compared it with the ratio of the target density. The other method to investigate the stability of foamed concrete mix is to check the difference between an actual and a calculated w/c ratio which should be close to 2%. Different factors may influence thestabilityofthemixsuchasinclusion of mineral admixtures. For example, the use of ground granulated blast furnace slag (GGBS) in the mixreduceditsstabilityandcausedsegregationandblee dingofthemixbecauseGGBFs paste had lower packing density compared to cement paste under the same pressure. Also, it was reported that superplasticizers allow the reduction of w/c ratio to 0.3 less than and enhancedthestabilityby43%.Itwasalsoshownthatthe stabilityreducedwhenan excessive amount of foam agent was added. Furthermore, it was reported that mixes formed from protein based surfactants were prone to segregation, probably due incompatibility of the additive of surfactant type with the superplasticizer. In brief, the water to cement ratio and plasticizers are also recommended to be proficiently added to avoid segregation or bleeding.

## 1.1.4 Compatibility

Thecompatibilityoffoamedconcreteisknownasasitua tionofstronginteractionbetweenthe mix design and its constituents specifically between chemical admixtures and foam agent. Therefore, where there was no collaboration between the mixture constituents, the compatibility of foam mortar would be reduced. Therewith, due to incompatibility of design admixtures, the segregation problem usually occurs when there is no interaction between the surfactant and plasticizers. The compatibility between foam agents and the chemical admixtures is strongly recommended conforming to BS EN 934-2. In general, the dosage of plasticizersisrecommendedtobeinavolumenotexcee ding0.2%byweightofcement.Itwas also reported that foamed concrete mixes made from proteinbased surfactants tended to segregates due to incompatibility of the surfactant with the

superplasticizer. The incompatibility of foamed concrete has become a common issue reported by site workers in Dundee University. They suggested that this issue might occur due to a lack of knowledge about the plasticizers added to the foamed concrete mixes. The degree of compatibility of concrete could be measured by dividing the full height of a proposed cube before compacting overfull height recorded minus the reduction in height due to retraction after compacting (for example at 3 days of curing age).

## 1.1.5 Workability

Workability of foamed concrete shows an excellent performance through the presence of air- voids in the fresh mix due to the addition of stable foam agent. Workability test, commonly conducted by a slump test for the normal concrete is not applicable for low density fresh concretespecifiedbyBS EN12350:

Part2,part6.Foamedconcreteworkabilityperformanc e is visually evaluated, which aims to achieve an appropriate viscosity of the mix. Besides, Brewer measured the workability of foamed concrete using a method called spread ability. Brewerrecommendedthistestonafreshmixoflowstrengthmaterials(e.g.foamedconcrete)

bymeasuringthespreadintwodirectionsofasamplepla cedina75mmdiameterand150mm long open-ended cylinder, after the cylinder was raised vertically. The average of the two measureddiameterswascalculatedandreportedtothen earest5mm.Dhiretal.recommended thatforan acceptableworkability

offoamedconcretethespreadabilityofthebasemixsho uld

bebetween85and125mmforacement/sandmixandbet ween115and140mmwhenflyash was also included. To date, few research works have been undertaken to determine the minimumworkabilityrequiredforthedesirablemix.Fo rexample,highworkabilityoffoamed

concrete was reported in mixes with GGBS, albeit, segregation was also observed. It was reported that the plasticizers should not be commonly used in foamed concrete unless the amount is limited to less than 0.2% by weight of cement; to improve the workability for the case of low w/c ratio.

## **1.2** *Physicalproperties*

Some physicalproperties of foamed concrete includedensity, drying shrinkage,porosity, and sorptivity (capillarity).

### 1.2.1 Density

The density of mix can be measured in two phases; fresh and dry densities. The difference betweenvaluesoffreshanddrydensityisrecommended tobelimitedto100-120kg/m3.The actual fresh mix density is usually measured by filling and weighing a pre-weighted standard container of known volume with the produced foamed concrete. Then, the variation between the design and achieved densities should be assessed. The most acceptable tolerance for dry densityislimitedtobe±50kg/m3whichmightreachthe differenceupto±100kg/m3forhigh densityfoamedconcretemixes(i.e.1600kg/m3).Them

ethodisdescribedinBSEN12350: Part 6: 2000 . The purpose to determine the fresh density is to prepare the actual volume for thedesignmixandthecastingcontrolwhilethedrydensi tyrigorouslycontrolsthemechanical, physical and durability properties of hardened foamed concrete. So far, the effect of mix constituents such as foam agent volume and supplementary cementitious materials on the densityhasbeenreportedintheliterature.Forexample,i tisreportedthatthefoamedconcrete fresh density commonly decreases with an increase in the foam volume content. On the other hand, the addition of fly ash reportedly increased the dry density of the foamed concrete at a given foam agent volume (10%), nonetheless, the changes in the density as a result of ash inclusion could be controlled with foam agent volume. In general, the lightweight foam

concreteobtainsupto50MPaofstrengthwhenthefresh densityvolumeisonlyupto65% of normal concrete (the surfactant solutions are foam agent having a density between 20 and 90 kg/m3).

### **1.2.2** Drying shrinkage

Drying shrinkage is considered as one of drawbacks of foamed concrete that usually occurs duringthefirst20daysofcastingtime.Thetypicalrange ofdryingshrinkageoffoamed

concreteisbetween0.1%and0.35%ofthetotalvolume ofthehardenedconcretematrix.Also, dryingshrinkageoffoamedconcreteisdeemedas4-

10timeshigherthannormalconcretedue to aggregate type in the mix design, higher cement and water contents and mineral admixture in foamed concrete. Actually, there is a lack of knowledge about the effect of cement content onthedryingshrinkageoffoamedconcrete, butsomeres earchersreportedthatcementcontent had negative influences on the performance of foamed concrete in terms of drying shrinkage which can be overcome by partial substitutions of Portland cement with other supplementary materials such as fly ash, silica fume, and lime due to a lower heat of hydration. It is also reported that the drying shrinkage is decreased due to the restraining effect of increased aggregate and moisture contents. In the range of higher moisture content, loss of moisture would be from relatively larger pores which do not cause significant shrinkage. Jones et al.

reported that the higher shrink age restraining capacity of foamed concrete with sand when they compared the drying shrink age of foamed concrete with sand and fly ash as filler, in which foamed concrete with fly ash particles revealed higher drying shrink age. Also, the inclusion of

lightweightaggregatesofflyashhasbeensuggestedasa nefficientwaytodecreasethedrying

shrinkage.Besides it is reported that the increase in the foamvolume decreased the shrinkage due to grow in the poresize.Adecrease of up to 36% in drying shrinkage was observed when the foam volume increased to 50% of the total volume.

#### 1.2.3 Porosity

Porosity of foamed concrete is an important characteristic to be taken into account because it influences the other considerable properties such as compressive and flexural strengths as well

asdurability. Arelationshipbetweenwatervaporperme ability and porosity infoamed concrete

andcementpasteswasstudiedbyKearsleyandWainwri ght.However,studiesshowedthatthe permeability and the degree of fluid flow through concrete matrix were more a function of larger capillary pores rather than the total porosity. Reportedly, the water movement into concrete is not a simple function of porosity but depends on the pore diameter, distribution, continuity and tortuosity. Porosity of foamed concrete is measured by apparent, total vacuum saturation and mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP) methods. However, the most significant method to measure the porosity of foamed concrete is the total vacuum saturation method as

theaccuracyofresultsisreportedly66% and 13% higher than apparent and MIP, respectively.

Theporosityoffoamedconcreteeasesthetransportofag gressivefluidsinsidehardenedmatrix

offoamedconcrete. The porosity depends on degree of infusion characteristics such as water absorption,

sorption, and permeability. Many factors can affect the porosity of hardened concrete such as the mix design compositions, foam agents and the curing type. It is reported that high w/c ratio significantly affects the foamed concrete and caused porosity. Previous works indicated that the permeability and pore size distribution of Portland cement pastes increased when w/c ratio was incremented from 0.3 to 0.9 at which considerable volumes of larger pores with larger diameters were observed.

#### 1.2.4 Sorptivity

The sorptivity is defined as a measure of the capability of the medium to absorb a liquid by capillarity action. Sorptivity affects the durability of foamed concrete, and it mainly depends onfoamagent,typeofmineraladmixtures,density aswellaspermeabilitycharacteristicsand curing The abovementioned parameters conditions. influence the tendency of water transmissions intermsofsizeofbubbles(pores),tortuosity,andtheunif ormityofdistribution and continuity characteristic. The sorptivity can be determined based on the theory of unsaturated flow and the measurement of the capillary rise absorption rate in reasonably homogeneous concrete such asfoamedconcrete. Tableshows formulas used to determine the sorptivity. The reasonable range of sorptivity of foamed concrete is controlled by air content ranging from 4 to 8% as stipulated by ACI 213R for lightweight material.

## **1.3** OBJECTIVE:

In this investigation decrease these lfweightby using foam and fiber in the concrete. Study the compressive and flexural behavior of concrete contains foam in concrete.



Figure1CompressiontestofLFC

### **1.4** Experimental programs

### (i) Compressivestrength

Cubeswereusedforcompressivestrengthwit hsizeof150x150x150mm.Eachcube will be wrapped with polythene sheets for curing purposed. Each cube will be tested on 7, 28, 60 and 180 days. The cubes were kept in oven for  $\pm 24$  hours to achieve a drier density. The sample then was left to cool to achieve normal temperature after oven drying is completed. The weight of each cube was recorded for detailed data analysis. The compressive test is based on BS EN 12390-3:2009.

### (ii) Flexuralstrength

Two type of sample were used to determined the flexural strength. Prism with size of100x100x500mmwereusedforthefourpointflexuraltest.Ascomparison,three–point flexural test also wasconducted with 100 x 25 x350 mm ofspecimen. Each sample also will bewrappedwithpolythenesheetsandplacedinto oventoachievedrydensity.Thesamplewill also be left to cool to normal temperature. The flexural tests are based on BS 12390-5:2009.

### LITERATUREREVIEW

### SinceLFCis

notamainstreamconstructionmaterial, abriefintroduct iontoLFC will firstbe provided. LFC is defined as a cementitious material having a minimum of 20 per cent by volume of mechanically entrained foam in the mortar slurry (Van Deijk, 1992) in which airpores are entrapped in the matrix by means of a suitable foaming agent. The air-pores are initiatedbyagitatingairwithafoamingagentdilutedwit hwater;thefoamthencarefullymixes together with the cement slurry to form LFC. Integrating the airpores into the base matrix gives a low self-weight, high workability, excellent insulating values, but lower strength in contrast to normal weight concrete. LFC canbe fabricatedanywhere in any shape or building unit size.

LFC is not a new material in the construction industry. It was first patented in 1923 (Valore, 1954)andalimitedscaleofproductionwasinstigatedin1 923.TheuseofLFCwasverylimited

untilthelate1970s, when it was started to be consumed in Netherlands for grounden gineering

applicationsandvoidsfillingworks.In1987afullscalea ssessmentontheapplicationofLFC as a trench reinstatement was carried out in the United Kingdom and the achievement of this trial led to the extensive application of LFC for trench reinstatement and other applications followed (Brady et al., 2001). Since then, LFC as a building material has become more widespread with expanding production and range of applications.

Over the past 20 years, LFC has primarily been used around the world for bulk filling, trench reinstatements, backfill toretainingwalls andbridgeabutments, insulationtofoundationsand roof tiles, sound insulation, stabilising soils (especially in the construction of embankment slopes), grouting fortunnel works, sandwich fill for prec astunitsandpipelineinfill.However, in the last few years, there is developing interest in using LFC as a lightweight nonstructural andsemistructuralmaterialinbuildingstotakeadvantageitsligh tweightandgoodinsulation

properties.LFCcanhaveawiderangeofdensitiesandea chdensityisproducedforaparticular type of application.

2.1 T. Divya Bhavana and Ropula Kishore Kumar, S. Nikhil, P. Sairamchander had workedonthestudyoflightweightconcreteinwhichthe yconcludedthecompressivestrength of light weight concrete is lower than the ordinary conventional from concrete and this compressivestrengthresult, it is observed that as the perc entageofECAisincreasingthecompressive and flexure strength is decreasing since, the density of reduced concrete is by additionofECA.Alsotheworkabilityoflightweightco ncreteisgoodwhenitiscomparedto theordinaryconventionalconcreteandthislightweight concretehaslowthermalconductivity and has an

ability to absorb sound.

**2.2** Miss Akshata A Mulgund and Dr. Dilip K Kulkarni had worked on the light weight concretein whichtheyshownthecomparisonofboththedensitieso fnormalconcreteaswell as light weight concrete. As per the density of light weight concrete is much more lesser than normal concrete, so the lesser density of light weight concrete is helps to reduce dead load of structure, increases the progress of building and it maintain the economy of structure.

**2.3** Yasar et.al. have performed a study on the design of structural lightweight concrete(SLWC) made with basaltic pumice (scoria) as aggregate and fly ash as mineral admixtures that will provide an advantage of reduction in dead weight of a structure. The compressive and flexural tensiles trengths of hard ened concrete, the properties of fresh concrete including

0.45ofcement:sand:waterwasusedinthisstudy. Thewaterratiousedforthemixinfluenced the cement paste. The experiment shows that each additives react as early in mixing process. The mixture of all materials needs to be blended well as it will affect the mix target design. The best mixing time to get good mix is between 15-20 minutes depending on the weather,

densityofLFC,typeofsandandmixerused.Type ofadditivesalsowill influencethemixing timeasthereactionofeachmaterialaredifferent. AstudydonebyJustandMiddendorfstates that approximately 20 to 40 minutes is the period for mixing to get the reaction to complete, depending on temperature.

#### Fig4.Fineaggregate

Figure1, Particlesizedistribution of Sand



Theproteinfoamingagentknownas"NORAITE PA-1,"whichwasmanufacturedinMalaysia was used. The foaming agent was diluted in water with a ratio of 1:33 by water volume. The foamdensityneedstobebetween75to80g/L beforebeingmixed with other materials. Flow ability times are also calculated as the time will be used as a reference to add the required amountoffoamintothemix.Theflowability,kno wnasflowrate, usually valued between 2.3 to 2.7 litres per second to achieve 75 to 80 g/L density of the foam depended on the foam machine used, was monitored. The density of LFC was determined by the volume of foam addedforcertainmixes. The stability of the foamis importantinproducingLFC.Afoaming

generatorwillactasamediumtotransferthechemicalint ostablefoam.TM1thatwassupplied by DRN resources was used as a foaming generator in this study. This type of foaming generator has a mixing capacity of up to 7m3 of lightweight foamed concrete per day.

Fig.5-Foamingagent



The hydrolyzed proteins or the synthetic surfactants are the most common forms based on which foams are made. The synthetic based foam agents are easier to handle and are cheap. They can be stored for a longerperiod. Lesser energy is required to produce thesefoams. The proteinbasedfoamarecostlybuthavehighstrengthand performance. The foam can be of two types: wet foam and dry foam. Wet foams with densities lesser than 100 kg/m3 are not recommended for the manufacture of foam concrete. They have a very loosely place large bubble structure. To a fine mesh, the agent and the water are being sprayed. This process produces foamthat has bubbles with sizer anging from 2t

o5mm.Dryfoamishighlystablein nature. A solution

ISSN 2321-2926

of water and the foaming agent is forced by restrictions into a mixing chamberbycompressorair. The produced foam have bu bblesize which is smaller than the wet

foam.Thatislessthan1mm.Thesegiveastructureofbub bles,whichareevenlyarranged.BS 8443:2005 covers the foaming admixtures.

## (ii) Additives

Each type of additives has it own properties that cause different result and reaction. Different percentage of additives was used in this study. Fly ash and Jute fibre were used in this study. Class F fly ash according to ASTM C618 was used and characterized as pozzolanic material. Fly ash as cement replacement reacts as filler that contributes strengthen LFC. to Lime that alsoreactsasfillerwillbeuseasaggregatereplacement.J utefibreusedinthisstudywasMega Mesh polypropylene fibre. This type of fibre is specifically engineered and manufactured in accordance to ISO9001 certified facility. Properties of each additive were shown in Table.

### FlyAsh

Fly Ash particles are mostly spherical tiny glass beads. Ground materials such as Portland cementaresolidangularparticles.FlyAshparticlespro videagreaterworkabilityofthepowder portion of the concrete mixture which results in greater workability of the concrete and a lowering of water requirement for the same concrete consistency.



Fig6. Fly Ash

The physical ropertiesoffly ash.

- Type-ClassFFly ash
- Densityoffly ash- 1400kg/m3
- ✤ Colour-white
- Specificsurfacearea-4000cm2/g
- Codal provision-IS.3812.1.2003
- Chemical composition of fly ash

Table1. Physical properties of jutefiber

Property	Jute fibre
Ultimatecell length, L(mm)	0.8-12
Ultimatecell breadth, B (mm)	10-25pm
Length/breadth(LB) ratio	110
Fineness(denier)	15-35
Specificheat(cal/g/g)	0.324
Specificgravity (gm/cc)	2.26
Coefficientofstaticfriction	0.45-0.54
Refractiveindex	1.577
Density(gm/cc)	1.46
Tenacity(gm/denier)	3-5

## 4.1 RESULTSANDDISCUSSIONS:

This section will give comprehensive justifications on the studies of foamed concrete

withdifferentdensitiesandadditives.Table2dem onstratesclearlythatdensityinfluencesthe mechanical properties due to pores production in foamed concrete. The strength of foamed concrete specimens is parallel with the ages.

21

Low density consumed high dosage of foam; henceitsdensityandvalueofstrengthweredroppe d.Highamountofporesinducedwillcreate weak cell structure between pores and matrix as there was insufficient surface area that connects pores and matrix. At low density, the pores will fuse close together and some of the bubbles merge with another and creates larger pores, thus cracks easily formedwhen external forceswereappliedontheconcrete. Itshould bepointedoutthatthecompressiveandflexural strength have similar strength development trend with the splittingtensile strength.

#### Compressive strength

ProcedureforCompressivestrength of concrete orCubetest:

1. Placethe prepared concretemix in thesteelcubemouldfor casting.

2. Onceitsets, After 24 hoursremove the concretecubefrom the mould.

3. Keepthetest

specimenssubmergedunderwaterfor stipulated time.

4. Asmentionedthespecimenmustbekeptinwa terfor7or14or28daysandforevery 7 days the water is changed.

6. Ensure that concretes pecimen must be well dried before placing it on the UTM.

7. Weightofsamplesisnotedinordertop roceedwithtestinganditmustnotbelessthan 8.1Kg.

8. Testingspecimensare placedin thespacebetweenbearing surfaces.

9. Care must be taken to prevent the existence of any loose material or grit on the metal plates of machine or specimen block.

10. The concrete cubes are placed on bearing plate and aligned properly with the centre of thrust in the testing machine plates.

11. The loading must be applied

axially on specimen without any shock and increased t the rate of 140kg/sq cm/min. till the specimen collapse.

12. Due to the constant application of load, the specimen starts cracking at a point & final breakdown of the specimen must be noted.

Fig18. Compressivestrength test

Compressive Strength of Concrete = -

Max Load Carried by Specimen Top Surface Area of Specimen

COMPRESSIVESTRENGTHOFCONCRETEFORMULA:

The Compressive strength of specimen can be calculated by dividing maximum load carried by the specimen by cross-sectional area of the specimen cubes.

The surface area of specimen: =  $150 \times 150$ = $22500 \text{ mm}^2$  = $225 \text{ cm}^2$ 

Important Note:As per IS: 516-1959Minimum three specimens should be tested at each selected age (that means three specimens at 7 days, threespecimens @ 14 days & 28 days) If strengthofanyspecimenvariesbymorethan15%ofave ragestrength,suchspecimenshould be rejected.

Sample	Flexuralstrength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> )			
	7days	14 days	28days	
NF	1.56	1.59	1.61	
FA(15%)	1.45	1.50	1.67	
FA(30%)	1.49	1.52	1.88	
JF (0.1%)	1.66	1.75	1.90	
JF (0.2%)	1.58	1.63	1.78	

JF (0.3%)	1.45	1.50	1.55
JF (0.4%)	1.40	1.62	1.70

Table 5 shows compressive strength result of LFC with different density and additives. The results are recorded to 180 days as some additives give slow reaction in hydration process.

It can be seen clearly that the density of LFC influen ces the compressive strength. Low density

LFCresultsinpoorcompressivestrengthduetolar geamountandsizeofpores.Basedonthe result, fly ash as cement replacement contributes to strength with all densities. Due to pozzolanic reaction, longer period of curing is needed to achieve the ultimate.

Table5,Compressivestrengthoflightweightfoamed concrete withadditives

#### Flexuralstrength

Theflexuralstrengthdevelopmentgivessametre ndwiththecompressivestrength.Bothtwo set up of tests indicate the same trend of result. Table 4 shows that fly ash as cement replacement aid in performing better flexural strength with longer curing period. Lime as aggregatereplacementgivesgoodresult linearly developmentofstrength. Themicrostructure formationduetohydrationprocessgivesclearrea sononhowthemechanicalpropertiesofLFC wereaffected. The results from this study also sup portthestatementbyIzaguirreetal,2010[10] that mechanical properties for both the compressive and flexural strength showed similar behaviour. As mentioned before, addition of polypropylene fibre results in poor strength for LFC.Forflexuralstrength, slightincrease was obs

ervedasfibreincreasedthetensilestrength ofLFC.Comparedwithotheradditives,thetypeof failurewasdifferentasadditionoffibredid not

cause the sample to break apart. The fibre reacts as a mechanism to create bond between each particle in LFC and crack was observed as failure.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The experimental investigation shows that there a reseveral factors that need to be investigated as

they will influence the mechanical properties of LFC. Fly ash and lime that react as filler will cause chemical reaction due to hydration process. Jute fibre has less influence on the compressive strength but increases the tensile strength of LFC. The followings are important findings observed from the investigation:

• Different density of LFC affects LFC strength, as low density of LFC required large amount offoamcomparedwithhighdensity.Themicrostruct ureformationoflowdensityLFCcauses connection between pore, voids and matrix to become weak. The large size and number of pores cause weak bond thus affect the strength of LFC.

• Fly ash as cement replacement gives most contribution in this study. Fly ash required longer curingperiodtoachievetheultimatestrengthas

Sample	Compressivestrength(N/mm <sup>2</sup> )			
	7days	14 days	28days	
NF	6.9	8	8.1	
FA(15%)	7.6	9.8	11.4	
FA(30%)	4.03	9.2	11.8	
JF (0.1%)	7.22	8.6	9.5	
JF (0.2%)	6.13	9.4	10.3	
JF (0.3%)	4.55	7.9	8.3	
JF (0.4%)	2.7	7.6	8.3	

pozzolanicreactionhappened.Somecomplex particleis producedin

hydrationprocesswhich affectsthemechanicalproperties of LFC. This

complex particle will evolve with different shape and size until more rigid and consolidated

structurewasformed.Duetopozzolanicreactio n,sizeandnumberofporesreduceandprovide uniform distribution of pores. Fly ash as

The Effects Of Different Additives of the Compressive and Flexural Strengths of Light Foamed Concrete (LFC) cement replacement controls heat in hydration process thus aids in performing better drying shrinkage.

• Limecausesgoodearlydevelopmentofstreng thduetoaccelerationofpozzolanicreaction.

The reactions of hydration process produce more porosity thus affects the microstructure formation of LFC. The prismatic form of needle produced in hydration process causes less

interlockingbetweeneachmicrostructureeleme nts. Thisaffectsthemechanicalproperties of LFC.

• Jutefibrecausesmoreporesandvoidsproduced uetohydrophobiccharacterization. Thiswill affect the compressive strength as more pore and voids areproduced. Jute fibre contributes to

compressivestrengthinrelationtodensity.Usage ofjutefibreforlowdensityLFCgivesslight

increase for compressive strength. The production of pore and voids did not affect the

compressivestrengthduetocompactcompositio nofexistingmicrostructureformationforlow densityLFC.Polypropylenefibreresultsinaninc reaseinthetensilestrengthofLFC.Fibreas anti micro- crack agent will prevent cracks form widening.

#### REFERENCE

[1] Ramamurthy,K.,Kunhanandan,E.K. &InduSivaRanjani,G.2009.Aclassification of studies on properties of foam concrete. *Cement and Concrete Composites*, 31, 388-396.

[2] LEE, H. K. & SONG, S. Y. 2010. Performance characteristic of lightweight aggregate cellular concrete containing polypropylene fibers. *Journal of reinforced plastics and composites* 29, 883-897.

[3] Jones, M.R. & McCarthy, A. 2006. Heat of hydration in foamed concrete: Effect of mix constituents and plastic density. *Cement and Concrete Research*, 36, 1032-1041. [4] Jones, M. R. & McCarthy, A. 2005. Preliminary views on the potential of foamed concreteas a structural material. *Magazine of concrete research* 57, 21-31.

[5] Just,A.&Midderndoff,B.2009.Microstructu reofhigh-strengthfoamconcrete.

Material Characterization 60,741-748.

[6] BritishStandard(BS)EN12390-3(2009)-Testinghardenedconcrete.Compressive strength of test specimen

[7] British Standard (BS) EN 12390-5 (2009) – Testing hardened concrete. Flexural strength of test specimens

[8] British Standard (BS) EN 1881-206 (1986) – Testing concrete. Recomendations for determination of strain in concrete

[9] Barbhuiya,S. A., Gbagbo,J.K., Russell,M. I. & Basheer,P.A.M.2009. Properties offly ash concrete modified with hydrated lime and silica fume. *Construction Building Materials*, 23, 3233–3239.

[10] Izaguirre, A., Lanas, J. & Alvarez, J. I. 2011. Effect of a polypropylene fibre on the behaviorof aerial lime-based mortars. *Construction and Building Materials*, 25, 992-1000.