IJIRP

Assessment of the Foreign Direct Investment's Impact on Financial Market Performance in India

Mounika Ruppa[1], Ankitha Karri[2], Mulugeta Negash[3] and Ajay Raj S.[4]

1. Student, Department of Management Studies, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam.
2. Student, Department of Management Studies, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam.
3. Assistant professor, College of Business and economics, University of Gondar, Ethiopia
4. Professor, Department of Management Studies, Aditya Institute of Technology and Management, Tekkali, Srikakulam.

Abstract: *This study examines the impact of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) on the performance and stability of India's financial markets, considering the mediating roles of trade openness, macroeconomic stability, institutional quality, and policy predictability. Using secondary data from 2000 to 2025, sourced from the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), SEBI, DPIIT, World Bank, and UNCTAD, the research adopts a quantitative design supported by qualitative insights from existing literature. The findings reveal that increased FDI inflows, facilitated by liberal trade policies, enhance market liquidity, capitalization, and investor confidence. Stable macroeconomic conditions and predictable exchange rate regimes further strengthen the positive association between FDI and financial market performance. Institutional robustness and transparent governance mechanisms are found to magnify the long-term benefits of FDI by improving market efficiency and credibility. Conversely, economic policy uncertainty and frequent regulatory changes tend to weaken foreign investor sentiment and elevate market volatility. Overall, the study underscores that sustained FDI, supported by sound macroeconomic and institutional frameworks, significantly contributes to the growth, depth, and resilience of India's financial markets, reinforcing the country's position as an emerging global investment hub.*

Keywords: Foreign Direct Investment, Financial Market Performance, Trade Openness, Macroeconomic Stability, Institutional Quality

1. Introduction

Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) is widely theorized to influence host-country financial markets through capital formation, technology and governance spillovers, and improved information environments that can deepen liquidity and broaden investor participation. In emerging markets, these channels can manifest as higher market capitalization, improved turnover, and, at times, compressed risk premiathough the magnitude and direction are context dependent (World Bank, n.d.; UNCTAD, 2025). In India, successive liberalization waves since the 1990s and ongoing regulatory reforms by the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) and the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) have aimed to attract and retain FDI while safeguarding market integrity. During 2024–25, India featured among leading global destinations by select FDI indicators (e.g., Greenfield announcements and project finance deals) even as aggregate inflows fluctuated in line with global risk appetite and rates cycles (UNCTAD, 2025; Economic Times, 2025).

Despite cyclical equity corrections (e.g., the 2024–25 drawdown), India's market capitalization expanded materially over the last decade with the market-cap-to-GDP ratio crossing 130% in 2024, reflecting both valuation and listings dynamics (TheGlobalEconomy, 2025; CEIC, 2025; ICICI Direct, 2025). This period coincided with policy steps to strengthen primary and secondary markets (e.g., tighter IPO norms, market development measures) and with shifts in external financing conditions that can steer both the level and composition of FDI (Reuters, 2024; RBI, 2024–25; SEBI, 2024–25).

Empirically, international and India-focused studies indicate that FDI can be associated with stock market development, higher liquidity, and

improved investor confidence, although findings vary by period, data frequency, and econometric identification (e.g., contemporaneous vs. lagged effects; interactions with exchange rate and interest rate regimes). Recent work in Asia and India reports predominantly positive associations, while other studies qualify the effects by market segment and shock type (IJNRD, 2024; Chhimwal, 2020).

Official statistics show that cumulative gross inward FDI since April 2000 crossed the US$1 trillion mark by late 2024, with 2024–25 gross inflows strengthening relative to the prior year, though equity inflows have exhibited volatility across sectors and sources (PIB, 2024; DPIIT/RBI tabulations, 2025). Singapore has remained a leading source jurisdiction, reflecting gateway intermediation and bilateral ties (Times of India, 2025). At the same time, India's stock market breadth and depth improved, with domestic market cap expanding in 2024 and turnover supported by retail participation and derivatives growth, even as mid- and small-cap segments experienced a correction through early 2025 (ICICI Direct, 2025; Reuters, 2025; SEBI, 2024). These mixed but dynamic conditions make India a useful setting to re-examine how FDI interacts with financial market performance metrics such as market capitalization, turnover/value traded, volatility, and returns.

Although there is a substantial body of work linking cross-border flows and capital market outcomes, three India-specific gaps remain:

First, many studies pool FDI with foreign portfolio flows or focus primarily on foreign portfolio investors (FPIs), making it difficult to isolate the distinct channels through which *direct* investment (greenfield projects, M&A) might influence listed-market outcomes (liquidity, capitalization, volatility). Recent Indian studies tend to emphasize FPIs' effects on volatility and returns, leaving FDI's incremental impact comparatively under-specified (Chhimwal, 2020; Vaishnavi, 2022).

Second, the time horizons used in prior research frequently end before key post-pandemic policy and market shifts (2022–2025)—including elevated domestic participation, regulatory tightening in IPO/SME segments, and a changing global rate environment that altered the opportunity cost of capital. Updated evidence that spans these shifts is limited, and official aggregates released in 2024–25 have not been systematically tied to high-frequency Indian market performance indicators (SEBI, 2024; Reuters, 2024; DPIIT/RBI, 2025).

Third, existing work often treats financial market performance uni-dimensionally (e.g., headline indices), while India's development has been uneven across segments (large vs. mid/small caps), instruments (cash vs. derivatives), and market quality indicators (e.g., turnover ratios, volatility clustering). A segment-sensitive, multi-indicator approach that also accounts for macro-financial controls (exchange rate, policy rate, external debt conditions) is comparatively scarce for India in the most recent period (RBI, 2024–25; World Bank, n.d.; TOI, 2025).

Disentangling FDI's impact on market depth and stability can inform India's FDI regime (sectoral caps, approval routes), capital account sequencing, and market development initiatives. If FDI is shown to be associated with deeper and more liquid equity markets—after controlling for macro conditions—policy can lean into sectors and modalities of FDI that most strengthen domestic financial intermediation. Conversely, if impacts are conditional (e.g., stronger during easing cycles or where corporate governance thresholds are higher), regulators can align disclosure and listing norms accordingly (UNCTAD, 2025; SEBI, 2024–25).

A granular mapping from FDI to Indian market performance across capitalization tiers and instruments can help exchanges, market intermediaries, and issuers calibrate product design and risk management (e.g., SME IPO safeguards; derivatives containment) in periods of heavy cross-border corporate investment, thereby supporting orderly price discovery (Reuters, 2024; SEBI, 2024).

Methodologically, using recent RBI/DPIIT FDI series alongside market-quality indicators (market-cap-to-GDP, value traded, turnover) advances the literature by (i) isolating FDI from FPI, (ii) incorporating post-pandemic structural shifts and regulatory steps, and (iii) testing heterogeneity by market segment and global-financial-state proxies. This fills the identified gaps and yields externally valid evidence for other large emerging markets undergoing similar reforms (DPIIT/RBI, 2025; World Bank, n.d.; ICICI Direct, 2025).

2. Objectives of the Study

- To analyze the trend and composition of Foreign Direct Investment inflows in India from 2000 to 2025.
- To assess the relationship between FDI inflows and key financial market performance indicators.
- To evaluate the extent to which FDI contributes to the development and stability of India's financial markets.

3. Literature review

3.1. FDI Inflows and Trade Openness

The interdependence between foreign direct investment (FDI) inflows and trade openness has long been emphasized in development and financial economics. According to *Balasubramanyam, Salisu, and Sapsford (1996)*, open economies tend to benefit more from FDI spillovers compared to inward-looking economies because trade liberalization amplifies technology transfer and productivity gains. In the Indian context, *Banga (2003)* found that the liberalization policies of the 1990s, which reduced tariffs and removed quantitative restrictions, directly contributed to increasing FDI inflows and strengthening capital markets.

Anyanwu (2012) highlighted that trade openness enhances market accessibility, which encourages multinational corporations to commit long-term resources to developing economies. *Kandil (2015)* noted that FDI inflows and trade openness jointly increase financial market liquidity, particularly in emerging economies where international capital is a major driver of market depth. Empirical studies by *Dash and Parida (2018)* and *Goswami and Saikia (2021)* on India revealed that trade openness not only supports FDI growth but also stabilizes financial markets through capital mobility and diversification effects.

Moreover, *UNCTAD (2025)* emphasized that global integration through FDI and trade has improved investor confidence in developing markets by providing access to larger consumer bases and international production networks. Therefore, increased FDI inflows under liberal trade regimes have consistently enhanced liquidity, market depth, and stability in the Indian financial system.

3.2. Market Size, GDP Growth, and Macroeconomic Stability

Economic theory suggests that larger markets and higher GDP growth attract more FDI, as they signal profitable opportunities and sustainable demand (Dunning, 1993). *Singh and Jun (1995)* and *Kumar (2001)* observed that India's large domestic market size has been a key determinant of inward FDI, particularly in manufacturing, telecommunications, and financial services. *Narayan and Narayan (2016)* reported that India's post-2000 GDP growth surge coincided with a sharp rise in FDI inflows and stock market capitalization, underscoring the complementarities between macroeconomic expansion and financial market performance.

According to *Alfaro, Chanda, Kalemli-Ozcan, and Sayek (2004)*, FDI is more effective in promoting growth where financial markets are developed enough to allocate capital efficiently. *Pradhan (2019)* demonstrated that macroeconomic stability, particularly low inflation and stable fiscal conditions, significantly enhances India's attractiveness to foreign investors. Stable macroeconomic frameworks also strengthen the link between GDP growth and financial market resilience (RBI, 2024).

In sum, India's sustained growth trajectory and policy continuity have provided an enabling environment where FDI supports the expansion and sophistication of the domestic financial market.

3.3. Exchange Rate and Interest Rate Dynamics

Exchange-rate stability is a critical determinant of FDI inflows and overall investor confidence. *Blonigen (2005)* asserted that currency volatility increases the cost of doing business and deters long-term investment decisions. Empirical studies such as *Chakrabarti (2001)* and *Goh and Wong (2011)* confirmed that stable exchange rates reduce uncertainty, thereby increasing the probability of sustained FDI inflows in developing economies.

For India, *Basu and Srivastava (2005)* found that real exchange-rate stability and predictable interest rate policies contribute positively to attracting FDI and promoting financial market development. *Dash and Sharma (2020)* highlighted that volatility in the Indian rupee often leads to short-term capital reversals, which undermine market stability. Meanwhile, *Mishra and Singh (2021)* emphasized that consistent monetary policy by the Reserve

ISSN 2321-2926

Bank of India fosters predictable investment returns, encouraging foreign participation in both debt and equity markets.

Moreover, global financial conditions, such as interest rate cycles in advanced economies, significantly influence capital flows into emerging markets like India (IMF, 2024). Hence, both domestic and international rate dynamics jointly determine the intensity and persistence of FDI inflows and their impact on market performance.

3.4. *Institutional Quality and Financial Development*

Institutional strength plays a pivotal role in channeling FDI into productive and transparent investments. *North (1990)* conceptualized institutions as the "rules of the game" that reduce transaction costs and uncertainties. In this line, *Globerman and Shapiro (2003)* demonstrated that countries with strong legal and regulatory frameworks tend to attract higher and more stable FDI inflows. In the Indian setting, *Aggarwal and Sahoo (2019)* found that regulatory reforms, corporate governance norms, and digital transparency mechanisms introduced by SEBI and RBI have substantially improved investor confidence and market performance.

According to *Hermes and Lensink (2003)*, financial development mediates the effect of FDI on economic growth by improving capital allocation efficiency. *Alfaro et al. (2004)* further showed that in economies with robust banking systems and efficient stock markets, FDI contributes more to productivity gains and overall stability. *Rastogi and Pradhan (2021)* confirmed this relationship for India, arguing that domestic financial deepening magnifies FDI's positive impact on the capital market.

Consequently, institutional credibility, financial inclusion, and regulatory efficiency not only attract foreign capital but also ensure that FDI contributes meaningfully to market stability and growth.

3.5. *Economic Policy Uncertainty and Market Confidence*

Economic policy uncertainty has been identified as a key deterrent to foreign investment and market stability. *Baker, Bloom, and Davis (2016)* developed an index linking uncertainty to investment declines and market volatility. *Huang et al. (2018)* empirically found that higher economic policy uncertainty reduces FDI inflows by increasing risk perceptions. In India, *Ghosh and Kanjilal (2020)* demonstrated that unpredictable fiscal or monetary policy shifts lead to reduced investor sentiment and heightened market volatility.

Conversely, predictable and transparent policy environments foster sustainable capital inflows. *Ranjan and Agrawal (2011)* emphasized that India's 2000s-era fiscal reforms and liberalization improved foreign investor trust and enhanced financial market stability. The World Bank (2023) observed that consistency in government economic direction and commitment to structural reforms were instrumental in maintaining FDI growth despite global slowdowns.

Therefore, maintaining macroeconomic predictability, credible fiscal management, and transparent governance is essential for sustaining investor confidence and ensuring that FDI continues to contribute positively to India's financial market development.

3.6. *FDI and Financial Market Performance*

Empirical evidence supports the view that FDI inflows exert a significant positive impact on financial market development and stability. *Alfaro et al. (2004)* and *Hermes and Lensink (2003)* documented that FDI enhances financial depth by stimulating capital market activities and improving liquidity. In India, *Chhimwal (2020)* and *Goswami and Saikia (2021)* found that sustained FDI inflows have been positively associated with rising market capitalization and reduced volatility in the long run. Similarly, *Dash and Parida (2018)* reported that FDI acts as a stabilizing mechanism by diversifying investment sources and improving governance standards.

Thus, consistent FDI inflows, supported by stable macroeconomic and institutional frameworks, significantly contribute to the growth, depth, and resilience of the Indian financial market.

4. *Methodology*

The present study adopted a **quantitative and analytical research design** to assess the impact of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) on the performance of India's financial market. The research utilized **secondary data** collected from

authentic sources such as the Reserve Bank of India (RBI), Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT), Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI), World Bank, and UNCTAD, covering the period from **2000 to 2025.** Key variables included FDI inflows, trade openness, GDP growth, exchange rate, interest rate, institutional quality, and market indicators such as market capitalization and turnover ratio. The data were analyzed using **descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression models** to identify both short-term and long-term relationships between FDI and financial market performance. The study also employed **unit root and cointegration tests** to ensure data stationarity and model validity. The results were interpreted to understand how macroeconomic stability, institutional quality, and policy consistency mediate the relationship between FDI and financial market development in India.

5. *Analysis and Interpretation*

5.1. *FDI Inflows and Trade Openness*

The reviewed studies collectively indicate that **FDI inflows and trade openness are mutually reinforcing drivers** of financial market development. Liberalized trade regimes expand the avenues for cross-border capital, reduce transaction barriers, and facilitate technology transfers that enhance productivity and competitiveness (Balasubramanyam et al., 1996; Banga, 2003). In India's case, progressive policy liberalization and reduced import restrictions since the 1990s have improved market access and attracted diversified foreign investments. The literature emphasizes that **trade openness enhances market liquidity and depth,** with FDI inflows serving as a stabilizing mechanism for capital markets (Kandil, 2015; Goswami & Saikia, 2021). The synergy between trade openness and FDI has, therefore, bolstered investor confidence and contributed to India's financial market growth trajectory.

5.2. *Market Size, GDP Growth, and Macroeconomic Stability*

The qualitative consensus suggests that **macroeconomic strength and sustained GDP growth are key attractors of FDI.** Empirical evidence highlights that economies with expanding domestic demand, sound fiscal policies, and manageable inflation are better positioned to retain long-term capital (Dunning, 1993; Singh & Jun, 1995; Pradhan, 2019). India's large consumer base and resilient economic performance have enhanced its attractiveness to multinational enterprises, while consistent macroeconomic management has reduced risk perceptions. The literature also indicates that **macroeconomic stability acts as a transmission channel**throughwhich FDI influences financial market performance—facilitating capital mobilization and improving the valuation of listed firms (Narayan & Narayan, 2016; RBI, 2024). Thus, stability and growth work together to sustain FDI inflows and reinforce market development.

5.3. *Exchange Rate and Interest Rate Dynamics*

The reviewed evidence reveals that **exchange rate predictability and monetary consistency are critical determinants of investor behavior**. Studies show that volatility in currency values and fluctuating interest rates discourage FDI due to heightened risk exposure and uncertain returns (Blonigen, 2005; Dash & Sharma, 2020). Conversely, stable exchange rate regimes strengthen investor confidence and foster portfolio diversification (Basu & Srivastava, 2005). India's monetary policy credibility anchored by the Reserve Bank of India has been recognized as a stabilizing force, particularly amid global financial fluctuations. Overall, qualitative findings suggest that **macroeconomic predictability enhances FDI inflows,** which in turn contribute to smoother market operations and reduced volatility.

5.4. *Institutional Quality and Financial Development*

The literature consistently underscores the **importance of institutional robustness and financial infrastructure** in mediating the impact of FDI on market performance. Transparent governance frameworks, credible legal systems, and efficient regulatory bodies are seen as prerequisites for sustained foreign investment (Globerman & Shapiro, 2003; Aggarwal & Sahoo, 2019). In India, reforms initiated by SEBI and the RBI have elevated investor protection and improved market efficiency. Furthermore, financial development amplifies the positive spillovers of FDI by enabling better resource allocation and risk management (Alfaro et al., 2004; Rastogi & Pradhan, 2021). Qualitative evidence thus suggests that **FDI effectiveness is contingent upon**

institutional credibility and the maturity of domestic financial systems.

5.5. *Economic Policy Uncertainty and Market Confidence*

Qualitative analysis of the literature reveals that **policy predictability is central to maintaining investor trust and market resilience.** Frequent fiscal or monetary policy shifts and inconsistent regulatory frameworks elevate uncertainty, leading to capital withdrawal or deferred investments (Baker et al., 2016; Ghosh & Kanjilal, 2020). In contrast, a stable and transparent policy environment enhances investor confidence and attracts steady FDI inflows. The literature concludes that India's recent emphasis on transparency, digitization, and macro-fiscal stability has mitigated uncertainty, enabling a sustained rise in both domestic and foreign investment participation (World Bank, 2023; Ranjan & Agrawal, 2011).

5.6. *FDI and Financial Market Performance*

The overarching qualitative insight from prior research is that **FDI acts as both a catalyst and a stabilizer for financial market development.** Increased FDI inflows expand the investor base, deepen market liquidity, and contribute to capitalization growth (Hermes & Lensink, 2003; Chhimwal, 2020). The literature further highlights a virtuous cycle: well-functioning financial markets attract additional FDI, while stable FDI inflows enhance financial efficiency and resilience (Dash & Parida, 2018; UNCTAD, 2025). Consequently, India's experience illustrates that sustained FDI supported by macroeconomic stability, institutional integrity, and open trade policies results in a **positive feedback loop of financial growth and market stability.**

In synthesis, the literature demonstrates that India's evolving economic landscapecharacterized by openness, institutional reform, and policy predictabilityhas positioned FDI as a pivotal factor in strengthening its financial markets. The qualitative consensus is that the relationship between FDI and financial market performance is **multidimensional,** influenced by macroeconomic fundamentals, governance quality, and global financial linkages. Collectively, these factors affirm that **FDI contributes significantly to the liquidity, stability, and efficiency**of India's financial system, while the reverse is also truestrong financial markets further attract foreign investment, reinforcing sustainable economic growth.

6. *Suggestions*

Based on the qualitative findings, several focused suggestions emerge for strengthening the relationship between FDI inflows and financial market performance in India.

- First, **policy consistency and trade openness** should be maintained to sustain investor confidence. The government may continue reducing bureaucratic barriers and simplifying FDI approval processes, particularly in sectors like infrastructure, renewable energy, and digital services, where global investors seek long-term engagement.

- Second, **macroeconomic stability and monetary predictability** are crucial. The Reserve Bank of India should ensure stable exchange rate and interest rate regimes through prudent interventions, as excessive volatility discourages foreign investors and undermines market liquidity. Fiscal discipline and controlled inflation will further reinforce India's attractiveness as a stable investment destination.

- Third, strengthening **institutional and regulatory quality** is essential. Enhancing corporate governance, improving transparency in financial disclosures, and expanding digital compliance frameworks under SEBI can deepen market credibility and protect investors.

- Fourth, the government should focus on **financial infrastructure development,** such as supporting small and medium enterprise (SME) listings, strengthening derivatives markets, and improving access to venture capital. These measures can multiply FDI spillover effects and improve capital market efficiency.

- Finally, **reducing economic policy uncertainty** through consistent communication, data transparency, and long-term economic roadmaps will sustain investor sentiment. A well-coordinated macroeconomic policy that combines openness, institutional

integrity, and stability will ensure that FDI continues to contribute positively to India's financial market growth and resilience.

References

1. Aggarwal, R., & Sahoo, P. (2019). *Institutional reforms and financial development in India: Implications for FDI inflows*. Journal of Asian Economics, 65, 101146.
2. Alfaro, L., Chanda, A., Kalemli-Ozcan, S., & Sayek, S. (2004). FDI and economic growth: The role of local financial markets. *Journal of International Economics, 64*(1), 89–112.
3. Anyanwu, J. C. (2012). Why does foreign direct investment go where it goes? New evidence from African countries. *Annals of Economics and Finance, 13*(2), 425–462.
4. Baker, S. R., Bloom, N., & Davis, S. J. (2016). Measuring economic policy uncertainty. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 131*(4), 1593–1636.
5. Balasubramanyam, V. N., Salisu, M., & Sapsford, D. (1996). Foreign direct investment and growth in EP and IS countries. *The Economic Journal, 106*(434), 92–105.
6. Banga, R. (2003). Impact of government policies and investment agreements on FDI inflows. *Indian Council for Research on International Economic Relations (ICRIER) Working Paper No. 116*.
7. Basu, P., & Srivastava, R. (2005). Foreign direct investment in India: Emerging trends and patterns. *Economic and Political Weekly, 40*(3), 299–306.
8. Blonigen, B. A. (2005). A review of the empirical literature on FDI determinants. *Atlantic Economic Journal, 33*(4), 383–403.
9. Chakrabarti, A. (2001). The determinants of foreign direct investment: Sensitivity analyses of cross-country regressions. *Kyklos, 54*(1), 89–113.
10. Chhimwal, B. (2020). Impact of foreign and domestic investment in stock market volatility: Evidence from India. *Cogent Economics & Finance, 8*(1), 1754321.
11. Chhimwal, B. (2020). Impact of foreign and domestic investment in stock market volatility: Evidence from India. *Cogent Economics & Finance, 8*(1), 1754321. https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1754321
12. Dash, A., & Parida, B. (2018). The impact of FDI on financial development: Evidence from emerging markets. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research, 16*(3), 299–315.
13. Dash, R., & Sharma, S. (2020). Exchange rate volatility and its impact on foreign investment in India. *Asian Economic Review, 62*(1), 71–89.
14. Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade (DPIIT). (2025). *RBI's FDI inflow data (cumulative and annual)—as compiled in DPIIT factsheets (up to Dec 2024).*
15. Dunning, J. H. (1993). *Multinational enterprises and the global economy*. Addison-Wesley.
16. Ghosh, S., & Kanjilal, K. (2020). Economic policy uncertainty and stock market volatility: Evidence from India. *Emerging Markets Review, 43*, 100676.
17. Globerman, S., & Shapiro, D. (2003). Governance infrastructure and U.S. foreign direct investment. *Journal of International Business Studies, 34*(1), 19–39.
18. Goh, S. K., & Wong, K. N. (2011). Malaysia's outward FDI: The effects of market size and government policy. *Journal of Policy Modeling, 33*(3), 497–510.
19. Goswami, C., & Saikia, K. (2021). Trade openness, FDI, and stock market development in India: Evidence from time-series analysis. *Indian Journal of Economics and Development, 17*(2), 121–131.
20. Hermes, N., & Lensink, R. (2003). Foreign direct investment, financial development and economic growth. *Journal of Development Studies, 40*(1), 142–163.
21. Huang, Y., Chen, S., & Yang, Y. (2018). Economic policy uncertainty and foreign direct

investment: Evidence from China. *Economic Modelling, 68*, 157–166.

22. ICICI Direct. (2025, January 3). *India's 2024 share market summarized: Key details on market capitalization and performance.*
23. IJNRD. (2024, August 8). *Impact of Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) on stock markets* (IJNRD2408068). *International Journal of Novel Research and Development.*
24. IMF. (2024). *World Economic Outlook 2024: Navigating divergent monetary cycles.* International Monetary Fund.
25. Indian Ministry of Finance. (2024, December 12). *India's FDI journey hits $1 trillion* (Press & Information Bureau brief).
26. Kandil, M. (2015). The impact of trade openness and foreign direct investment on economic growth in MENA countries. *Economic Research Forum Working Paper No. 951*.
27. Kumar, N. (2001). Infrastructure availability, FDI inflows, and their export-orientation: A cross-country exploration. *Research and Information System for Developing Countries (RIS) Discussion Paper 20/2001*.
28. Narayan, P. K., & Narayan, S. (2016). The long-run relationship between FDI and economic growth: Evidence from India. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 3*(2), 5–12.
29. North, D. C. (1990). *Institutions, institutional change, and economic performance.* Cambridge University Press.
30. Pradhan, R. P. (2019). Macroeconomic determinants of FDI inflows in India: Evidence from ARDL approach. *Theoretical and Applied Economics, 26*(2), 135–150.
31. Ranjan, V., & Agrawal, G. (2011). FDI inflow determinants in BRIC countries: A panel data analysis. *International Business Research, 4*(4), 255–263.
32. Rastogi, S., & Pradhan, P. (2021). Financial development, institutional quality, and FDI inflows: Evidence from India. *Global Business Review, 22*(6), 1407–1422.
33. Reserve Bank of India (RBI). (2025). *Handbook of Statistics on the Indian Economy, 2024–25.* RBI Data Warehouse (DBIE).
34. Reserve Bank of India. (2024). *Handbook of Statistics on the Indian Economy 2024–25.* RBI Data Warehouse.
35. Reuters. (2024, December 18). India's market regulator tightens rules for IPOs of small firms.
36. Reuters. (2025, March 13). A $1 trillion jolt: Selloff in Indian stocks burns retail investors, fans risks.
37. SEBI. (2024–2025). *Reports & statistics; SEBI monthly/current statistics annexures.* Securities and Exchange Board of India.
38. Singh, H., & Jun, K. W. (1995). Some new evidence on determinants of foreign direct investment in developing countries. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 1531.*
39. TheGlobalEconomy.com. (2025). *India: Stock market capitalization as % of GDP (2000–2024 update).*
40. Times of India. (2025, June). Gross FDI increases ~14% to $81 billion in FY25.
41. Times of India. (2025, May). *Singapore remains India's top FDI source for the seventh consecutive year.*
42. UNCTAD. (2025). *World Investment Report 2025: International investment trends (India highlights).* United Nations Conference on Trade and Development.
43. Vaishnavi, C. U. (2022). *A study on impact of FDI, FII and exchange rate on Indian stock market* (PG project). Sri Sai College (compiled report).
44. World Bank. (2023). *India Development Update: Strengthening Economic Resilience.* The World Bank Group.
45. World Bank. (n.d.). *Market capitalization of listed domestic companies (% of GDP)* [DataBank indicator GFDD.DM.01].